Program Review Committee Plan Ranking Rubric (Revised 04/2021)

Category	No (0)	Low	(1)	(2)	Medium (3)	(4)	High (5)
Necessary to achieve an Institutional Goal or Institutional Objective	Has no alignment with an Institutional Goal or Institutional Objective	Has min alignme an Instit Goal or Institution Objectiv	nt with autional	Has small alignment with Institutional Goal or Institutional	Has moderate alignment with an Institutional Goal or Institutional Objective	Has consider alignme with an Institution Goal or	ent onal	Has strong alignment with an Institutional Goal or Institutional
Identified as a need based on assessment outcome(s)	Has no link to assessment data	Has min to assess data		Objective Has some link to assessment data	Has moderate link to assessment data	Institution Objective Has consider link to assessm	ve rable	Objective Has strong link to assessment data
Category	No (0)			Low (1)	data Medium (2)			High (3)
Ranking by Program Review Authors	Low Ranking		Low to mid ranking		Mid to high ranking		High ranking.	
Number of students affected	No students affect			s students in discipline d	Impacts students in a specific division		Impacts students districtwide	
Improved institutional efficiency	Has no cost/benefit value		Has low cost/benefit value		Has moderate cost/benefit value		Has high cost/benefit value	
Meets a safety or legislated mandate	Has no link to safety or mandate		Has low or indirect link to safety or mandate		Has moderate link to safety or mandate		Has strong of direct link to safety or mandate.	
Criticality of the request	If unfunded there will be no disruption of service		If unfunded will have minor impact on service		If unfunded will have moderate impact on service		If unfunded will have major impact on service	